## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION					
Requestor's Name and Address:	MFDR Tracking #:	M4-04-1862-01			
CYPRESS FAIRBANKS MEDICAL CENTER PO BOX 676815					
DALLAS TX 75267-6815					
Respondent Name and Box #:					
Northern Insurance Co. of New York Box #: 19					
25					

#### PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION

**Requestor's Position Summary**: "Insurance paid at fair and reasonable. This is a hospital Charge for outpatient services no fee guidelines for outpatient Services and should have paid at 78 percent of total charges 5702.19." [sic]

# **Principle Documentation:**

- 1. DWC 60 Package
- 2. Total Amount Sought \$5,070.00
- 3. Hospital Bill

#### PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION

**Respondent's Position Summary**: "The billing in dispute has been paid at a fair and reasonable rate in accordance with TWCC guidelines, policies and rules, and the Texas Labor Code. Carrier has determined that \$2142.00 represents a fair and reasonable reimbursement for this service. The provider must therefore prove that the reimbursement received is not fair and reasonable."... "Further, the provider calculation method of applying a set payment percentage to the total bill is contrary to the Commissions stated policy goal of cost containment."... "Because Requestor has failed to prove that the reimbursement received is not fair an reasonable, Requestor is not entitled to further reimbursement."

### **Principle Documentation:**

- 1. Response Package
- 2. EOB

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS					
Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
10/10/2002	M	Outpatient Surgery	\$5070.00	\$0.00	
Total Due:				\$0.00	

## PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

- 1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code:
  - M − "No MAR"
- 2. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that "reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011"...

- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(B), effective January 1, 2002, 26 TexReg 10934; amended to be effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires that the request for medical fee dispute resolution shall include "a copy of each explanation of benefits (EOB) or response to the refund request relevant to the fee dispute or, if no EOB was received, convincing evidence of carrier receipt of the provider request for an EOB". Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not submitted a copy of each EOB relevant to the fee dispute. Neither has the requestor submitted convincing evidence of carrier receipt of the provider request for an EOB. The Division concludes that the requestor has failed to submit the request in the form, format and manner prescribed by the Division sufficient to meet the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(B).
- 5. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C), effective January 1, 2002, 26 TexReg 10934; amended to be effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including "a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include: (i) a description of the healthcare for which payment is in dispute, (ii) the requestor's reasoning for why the disputed fees should be paid or refunded, (iii) how the Texas Labor Code and commission [now the Division] rules, and fee guidelines, impact the disputed fee issues, and (iv) how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue. The request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on October 9, 2003. Pursuant to §133.307(g)(3), the Division notified the requestor on October 15, 2003 to send the additional required documentation. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not state its reasoning for why the disputed services should be paid; or how the Texas Labor Code and Division rules impact the disputed fee issues; or how the submitted documentation supports the requestor's position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that the requestor has not provided documentation sufficient to meet the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C).
- 6. Division Rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 2, 2002, 26 TexReg 10934; amended to be effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement"... The requestor's rationale for increased reimbursement from the Table of Disputed Services asserts that "This is a hospital Charge for outpatient services, no fee guidelines for outpatient Services and should have paid at 78 percent of total charges" [sic]... Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not submitted documentation to support the proposed methodology for the requested reimbursement. The requestor does not explain how it determined that payment of 78% of billed charges would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement. The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would ensure the quality of medical care, achieve effective medical cost control, ensure that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement, or otherwise satisfy the statutory requirements and Division rules. Additionally, the Division has determined that a methodology based on a percentage of billed charges does not, in itself, produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline adoption preamble which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 (July 4, 1997) that "A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources." Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment in the amount of 78% of the billed charges would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Therefore, reimbursement in the amount of 78% of the provider's billed charges cannot be recommended. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not discussed, demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional reimbursement cannot be recommended.
- 7. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(e)(2)(B), §133.307(g)(3)(C) and §133.307(g)(3)(D). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to meet its burden of proof to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES					
Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413.0311 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1 Texas Covernment Code, Chapter 2001, Subspector Code					
Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G					
PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND/OR ORDER					
Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas L Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the Requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement the services involved in this dispute.					
DECISION:					
Authorized Signature Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer Date	е				
VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL					
Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within <b>20</b> (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. <b>Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision</b> together with other required information specified in Division Rule 148.3(c).					
Under Texas Labor Code Section 413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sou exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Cod Section 413.031.	ght				
Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.					